

2002 Higher School Certificate Standards Package

Introduction

In 2001 the Board of Studies introduced, as part of the Higher School Certificate, major changes to the way in which student achievement was reported. The Board determined that student performance in the examinations from 2001 onwards would be reported in relation to standards (or levels of achievement). Specifically, for extension courses students receive a mark (out of a maximum possible score of 50) and a place within a performance band. Four performance bands are used with band E1 covering the range of marks from 0 to 24, band E2 covering from 25 to 34, band E3 covering from 35 to 44, and band E4 covering the range of marks from 45 to 50. With the exception of band E1, the level of achievement represented by each performance band is described in a statement summarising the knowledge and skills typically demonstrated by students who have achieved that performance band. There is no statement corresponding to band E1, which is considered to be below the minimum standard expected.

The new way of reporting student achievement is different from that previously used by the Board in that there is no predetermined proportion of students in any course who will be awarded each performance band. In this standards-referenced approach, students are awarded a particular band (and mark) if they demonstrate they have reached the performance standard associated with that band. Importantly, after the performance standards have been set, student achievement in the following years is reported in relation to the same standards. In this way, it is possible to make comparisons between the performances of students who have sat for the examinations in different years.

How the standards were set following the 2002 examinations

Stage 1

The first step in the process was to have the judges individually study the materials in the 2001 HSC standards package – the band descriptions, the examination paper and marking guidelines, and the sample student scripts. By drawing on their professional experiences and understanding of their subject each judge used the packages to develop an understanding of the knowledge and skills typically possessed by students *on the borderline between two bands*. They developed an ‘image’ of these particular students.

The judges then considered each examination question in turn. For questions that were scored dichotomously (ie right or wrong) each judge recorded the probability that a borderline student would get the question right. For questions that were scored polytomously (eg problems involving several steps or essay responses) each judge recorded the mark that they believed a borderline student would receive. Each judge’s borderline marks for each question were then added to give that judge’s cut-off marks between the bands. Each judge then looked at the total cut-off marks they had created to check that they were satisfied with the outcome. The average of the borderline marks between bands E3 and E4 proposed by each judge was calculated. This value was the initial cut-off mark between band E3 and band E4. A similar process was followed for the other borderlines.

Stage 2

The next step involved the judges meeting to compare and discuss the decisions they had made individually. This process also involved them in reviewing statistical reports specially developed to support the standards-based approach being used. A random sample of students was selected and the marks they obtained on every question on the examination extracted. These data were then analysed and presented in a form that made it easy for the judges to see how students at various ability levels performed on the questions. The judges reviewed and discussed the statistical data. During these discussions they had the opportunity to modify any question cut-off marks they had previously set. If their earlier values and the values predicted by the statistical analysis were different, the judges were not required to change their values; that is, the judges had the choice of whether to change or not. For each borderline, after all changes were made, the cut-off marks proposed by the judges for each question were averaged. The averages for all questions were then added to obtain a cut-off mark for that borderline. At the end of this step a revised set of cut-off marks was available.

Stage 3

The next step in the procedure was to have the judges consider a sample of student responses that had been awarded marks equal to the cut-off marks for each question that they had established. In reviewing and discussing these responses the judges were asked to assure themselves that they demonstrated levels of performance in each question that were on the borderline between two bands. The judges then looked at responses to each question that had been awarded marks on either side of the cut-off marks to confirm their decisions.

At the conclusion of this process, the judges' final cut-off marks were applied to the mark distribution from the examination and bands were allocated to students accordingly. This was done by setting the averages of cut-off marks that the judges had determined, to the band borderline marks of 25, 35 and 45 that are shown on the performance scale. In this way the raw examination marks awarded to students are aligned to the performance scale. For example, a student who received a raw examination mark that was between the borderline for band E2 / band E3 and the borderline for band E3 / band E4 would receive a mark between 35 and 45 through a simple linear mapping process. In most cases, the examination mark reported will be different from the raw examination mark.

Note: speaking / conversation components (where applicable)

For those subjects that had a speaking or conversation component the judges performed the appropriate steps in the standards-setting procedure during, or as close as possible to, the marking of that component. The final stage of the procedure included the opportunity for the judges to reflect upon student performances across the whole of the examination.

This package

A sample of student responses that were awarded the final band cut-off marks for each question established by the judges has been chosen to be included on this CD-ROM. In the case of those subjects with a practical or performance component or project work, samples of these works have been included. Also provided are the statistics on how those students who were placed on the borderline of two bands performed on the multiple-choice and other objective items, a copy of the 2002 examination paper, and a copy of the band descriptions. A copy of the syllabus has also been provided for reference purposes. This material will form an essential part of the operation in future years by exemplifying and clarifying the standards that are applied. It is important to note that:

- the samples of student examination question responses and other works used in this standards package typify the standard of work produced by students on the borderline between performance bands. They should not be regarded as exemplary responses, or be seen as typical of all students achieving a particular band
- not all questions in the examination paper will be included in this package. The particular optional questions included relate to a 'pathway' through the examination: that is, one of the collection of examination questions that students could select. The selection of optional questions to be used was made in conjunction with the subject representatives involved in the standards-setting process. One important criterion for selection was the numbers of students answering each optional question, and hence the availability of an adequate number of student responses from which to choose.

This selection should not be seen as indicating that schools should change the options they currently teach or that students will receive any advantage by studying these options.

Exemplar Samples

In addition to the student responses that illustrate the performance standards, some student responses that have received full marks for each question have been included. For each question in the examination where at least one student received full marks the response of one of these students has been provided. The exemplary responses demonstrate characteristics of responses that were awarded full marks. It must be remembered, however, that in some questions other students may have used different approaches to answering the question and still received full marks.

In some of the samples of responses to short-answer questions, the student's response exceeds the number of lines provided. The space set aside for students to write their answers has been judged by the examination committee as sufficient for all students to be able to fully answer the question. Equally, some samples of responses to extended-response questions are quite long. It is important to note that students' marks are based on how well they answer the question. Students who write beyond the allocated line limit or take a large number of pages to write their response are not penalised for doing so, except that they may have used valuable time in writing more than was required and they are not necessarily advantaged by doing so.

Note: speaking / conversation components (where applicable)

In some courses examiners have an active role in the speaking or conversation section of the examination. While examiners' styles and questions may have varied, it is the students' ability to speak the target language that has been assessed.

In addition, students have often used identifying details. To maintain anonymity, names, school names and suburb names have been edited out; this accounts for 'gaps' or stops in the recordings. In the speaking or conversation section, students are reminded the tape is not stopped during the examination and that it is acceptable to give personal details.

The Purpose of the CD-ROM

The CD-ROM is designed to serve three purposes:

- to equip the team of judges, who will have the task of determining what examination marks will represent the borderlines between the performance bands for future examinations, with clear and concrete information showing the standards they are to apply
- to give teachers and students a clearer understanding of the standard of work required of students in order to achieve each performance band
- to give teachers an understanding of the quality of work a student would need to produce in order to obtain full marks for a question.

The structure of the material

For each section of the examination requiring an extended response, the responses of up to three students whose work was of the standard typical of students placed at the borderline between band E3 and band E4 are provided. The responses of up to three students whose work was typical of students at the borderlines between band E2 and band E3, and band E1 and band E2 have also been provided. This material is organised in such a way that if a particular section or question from the test paper is selected, and then a particular borderline between two bands selected, it is possible to view, in turn, the responses of each of the students at that borderline.

In the case of those sections of the paper containing multiple-choice questions or short problems, information is provided in table and graphical form showing how students at each borderline responded to each question. For the purposes of this report, students who were considered to be at borderline were those that achieved a score that was within one mark of that borderline for the whole paper. In some cases, the actual numbers of students achieving these marks may have been quite low, and this should be considered when considering the figures provided.

How it can be used

By looking at each question students were required to answer and then studying the responses of the students, teachers will gain a very clear understanding of the standard of work typically produced by students at the borderline between each band. This understanding will be further enhanced when teachers re-read the band descriptions used to report student achievement and match these descriptions to their images of students at each borderline.

Teachers can look at the questions students were required to answer (and in the case of Listening sections listen to the stimulus material), study the actual responses students provided and then read the corresponding band descriptions to ascertain why the work of these students was typical of that demonstrated by students placed at the borderline of the two bands.